arXiv:1211.2568v1 [math.AP] 12 Nov 2012

# Lower semicontinuous envelopes in $W^{1,1} \times L^p$

Ana Margarida Ribeiro [*], Elvira Zappale[†]

November 13, 2012

**Abstract**

It is studied the lower semicontinuity of functionals of the type $\int_\Omega f(x, u, v, \nabla u)dx$ with respect to the $(W^{1,1} \times L^p)$-weak $*$ topology. Moreover in absence of lower semicontinuity, it is also provided an integral representation in $W^{1,1} \times L^p$ for the lower semicontinuous envelope.

**Keywords**: Lower semicontinuity, convexity-quasiconvexity.
**MSC2010 classification**: 49J45, 74F99.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we consider energies depending on two vector fields with different behaviours: $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $v \in L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\Omega$ being a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $1 < p \leq +\infty$, for every $(u, v) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ define the functional

$$J(u, v) := \int_\Omega f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x))dx \tag{1}$$

where $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times N} \to [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function with linear growth in the last variable and $p$-growth in the third variable (cf. $(H1_p)$ and $(H1_\infty)$ below).

The energies (1), which generalize those considered by [14], [15] and [9], have been introduced to deal with equilibria for systems depending on elastic strain and chemical composition. In this context a multiphase alloy is represented by the set $\Omega$, the deformation gradient is given by $\nabla u$, and $v$ (when $m = 1$) denotes the chemical composition of the system. We also recall that our result may find applications also in the framework of Elasticity, when dealing with Cosserat's theory, see [19]. In [14], the density $f \equiv f(v, \nabla u)$ is a convex-quasiconvex function, while in our model we also take into account heterogeneities and the deformation, without imposing any convexity restriction.

---

[*] Centro de Matemática e Aplicações (CMA), FCT, UNL, Departamento de Matemática, FCT, UNL, Quinta da Torre, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal, E-mail: amfr@fct.unl.pt

[†] Universita' degli Studi di Salerno, Via Ponte Don Melillo, 84084 Fisciano (SA) Italy. E-mail:ezappale@unisa.it

We are interested in studying the lower semicontinuity and relaxation of (1) with respect to the $L^1$-strong $\times L^p$-weak convergence. Clearly, bounded sequences $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$ may converge in $L^1$, up to a subsequence, to a $BV$ function. In this paper we restrict our analysis to limits $u$ which are in $W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, our results can be considered as a step towards the study of relaxation in $BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ of functionals (1).

We will consider separately the cases $1 < p < \infty$ and $p = \infty$. To this end we introduce for $1 < p < +\infty$ the functional

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{J}_p(u,v) := \inf \big\{ \liminf J(u_n, v_n) :\ & u_n \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n),\ v_n \in L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m), \\ & u_n \to u \text{ in } L^1, v_n \rightharpoonup v \text{ in } L^p \big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

for any pair $(u,v) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, and for $p = \infty$ the functional

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{J}_\infty(u,v) := \inf \Big\{ \liminf J(u_n, v_n) :\ & u_n \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n),\ v_n \in L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m), \\ & u_n \to u \text{ in } L^1, v_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v \text{ in } L^\infty \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

for any pair $(u,v) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$.
For any $p \in (1,+\infty]$ we will achieve the following integral representation (see Theorems 12 and 14):

$$\overline{J}_p(u,v) = \int_\Omega CQf(x,u(x),v(x),\nabla u(x))dx,$$

where $CQf$ represents the convex-quasiconvexification of $f$ defined in (6).

# 2 Notations and General Facts

In this section we introduce the sets of assumptions we will make to obtain our results. We prove some properties related to convex-quasiconvex functions and we recall several facts that will be useful through the paper.

## 2.1 Assumptions

Let $1 < p < +\infty$, to obtain a characterization of the relaxed functional $\overline{J}_p$ in (2), we will make several hypotheses on the continuous function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to [0,+\infty)$. They are inspired by the set of assumptions in [17] for the case with no dependence on $v$.

(H$1_p$) There exists a constant $C$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C}(|v|^p + |\xi|) - C \leq f(x,u,v,\xi) \leq C(1 + |v|^p + |\xi|),$$

for every $(x,u,\xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$

(H2$_p$) For every compact set $K$ of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a continuous function $\omega_K : \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty)$ with $\omega_K(0) = 0$ such that

$$|f(x, u, v, \xi) - f(x', u', v, \xi)| \leq \omega_K(|x - x'| + |u - u'|)(1 + |v|^p + |\xi|)$$

for every $(x, u, v, \xi)$ and $(x', u', v, \xi)$ in $K \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$.

Moreover, given $x_0 \in \Omega$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$ then

$$\forall\ (u, v, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \quad f(x, u, v, \xi) - f(x_0, u, v, \xi) \geq -\varepsilon(1 + |v|^p + |\xi|).$$

In order to characterize the functional $\overline{J}_\infty$ defined in (3) we will replace assumptions (H1$_p$) and (H2$_p$) by the following ones.
(H1$_\infty$) Given $M > 0$, there exist $C_M > 0$ and a bounded continuous function $G_M : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, +\infty)$ such that, if $|v| \leq M$ then

$$\forall\ (x, u, \xi) \in \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \quad \frac{1}{C_M} G_M(x, u)|\xi| - C_M \leq f(x, u, v, \xi) \leq C_M G_M(x, u)(1+|\xi|).$$

(H2$_\infty$) For every $M > 0$, and for every compact set $K$ of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a continuous function $\omega_{M,K} : \mathbb{R} \to [0, +\infty)$ with $\omega_{M,K}(0) = 0$ such that if $|v| \leq M$ then

$$|f(x, u, v, \xi) - f(x_0, u_0, v, \xi)| \leq \omega_{M,K}(|x - x_0| + |u - u_0|)(1 + |\xi|)$$

for every $(x, u, \xi), (x_0, u_0, \xi) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$.
Moreover, given $M > 0$, $x_0 \in \Omega$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $|v| \leq M$ and $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$ then

$$\forall\ (u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \quad f(x, u, v, \xi) - f(x_0, u, v, \xi) \geq -\varepsilon G_M(x, u)(1 + |\xi|),$$

where the function $G_M$ is as in $(H1_\infty)$.

## 2.2 Convex-Quasiconvex Functions

We start recalling the notion of convex-quasiconvex function, presented in [14] (see also [19, Definition 4.1], [15] and [13]). This notion plays, in the context of lower semicontinuity problems where the density depends on two fields $v, \nabla u$, the same role of the well known notion of quasiconvexity introduced by Morrey for the lower semicontinuity of functionals where the dependence is just on $\nabla u$.

**Definition 1.** A Borel measurable function $h : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *convex-quasiconvex* if there exists a bounded open set $D$ of $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$h(v, \xi) \leq \frac{1}{|D|} \int_D h(v + \eta(x), \xi + \nabla\varphi(x))\, dx, \tag{4}$$

for every $(v, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$, for every $\eta \in L^\infty(D; \mathbb{R}^m)$, with $\int_D \eta(x)\, dx = 0$, and for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(D; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

**Remark 2.** (i) It can be easily seen that, if $h$ is convex-quasiconvex, then, condition (4) is true for any bounded open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.
(ii) We recall that a convex-quasiconvex function is separately convex.
(iii) Through this paper we will work with functions $f$ defined in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$ and when saying that $f$ is convex-quasiconvex we mean the previous definition with respect to the last two variables of $f$.

The following result adapts to the context of $W^{1,1} \times L^p$, i.e. growth conditions expressed by (H1$_p$), a well known result due to Marcellini (see Proposition 2.32 in [10] or Lemma 5.42 in [3]). Indeed the following proposition follows as a particular case of [8, Proposition 2.11] .

**Proposition 3.** *Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a separately convex function in each entry of the variables $(v, \xi)$, verifying the growth condition*

$$|f(x,u,v,\xi)| \leq c(1+|\xi|+|v|^p),\ \forall\ (x,u,\xi,v) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

*for some $p > 1$.*
*Then, denoting by $p'$, the conjugate exponent of $p$, there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that*

$$|f(x,u,v,\xi) - f(x,u,v',\xi')| \leq \gamma|\xi-\xi'| + \gamma\left(1+|v|^{p-1}+|v'|^{p-1}+|\xi'|^{1/p'}\right)|v-v'|$$

*for every $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$, $v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $(x,u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$.*

A similar result holds in the case $W^{1,1} \times L^\infty$ (i.e. growth conditions expressed by (H1$_\infty$)).

**Proposition 4.** *Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a separately convex function in each entry of the variables $(v, \xi)$, verifying assumption* (H1$_\infty$). *Then, given $M > 0$ there exists a constant $\beta(M, n, m, N)$ such that*

$$|f(x,u,v,\xi) - f(x,u,v',\xi')| \leq \beta(1+|\xi|+|\xi'|)|v-v'| + \beta|\xi-\xi'|, \tag{5}$$

*for every $v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^m$, such that $|v| \leq M$ and $|v'| \leq M$, for every $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$ and for every $(x,u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$.*

We introduce the notion of convex-quasiconvexification with respect to the last variables for a function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to [0, +\infty)$. This notion is crucial in order to deal with the subsequent relaxation processes.

If $h : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is any given Borel measurable function bounded from below, it can be defined the convex-quasiconvex envelope of $h$, that is the largest convex-quasiconvex function below $h$:

$$CQh(v,\xi) := \sup\{g(v,\xi) :\ g \leq h,\ g \text{ convex-quasiconvex}\}. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, by Theorem 4.16 in [19]

$$\begin{aligned} CQh(v,\xi) = \inf\ &\left\{\frac{1}{|D|}\int_D h(v+\eta(x), \xi+\nabla\varphi(x))\,dx :\right. \\ &\left. \eta \in L^\infty(D;\mathbb{R}^m), \int_D \eta(x)dx = 0, \varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(D;\mathbb{R}^n),\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Consequently given a Carathéodory function $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to \mathbb{R}$, by $CQf(x,u,v,\xi)$ we denote the convex-quasiconvexification of $f(x,u,v,\xi)$ with respect to the last two variables.

As for convex-quasiconvexity, condition (7) can be stated for any bounded open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and it can be also showed that if $f$ satisfies a growth condition of the type $(\mathrm{H1}_p)$ then in (4) and (7) the spaces $L^\infty$ and $W^{1,\infty}_0$ can be replaced by $L^p$ and $W^{1,1}_0$, respectively.

The following results will be exploited in the sequel. We omit the proofs since they are very similar to [21, Proposition 2.2], in turn inspired by [10].

**Proposition 5.** *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *be a bounded open set and* $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to [0,+\infty)$ *be a continuous function satisfying* $(\mathrm{H1}_p)$ *and* $(\mathrm{H2}_p)$*. Let* $CQf$ *be the convex-quasiconvexification of* $f$ *in* (7)*. Then* $CQf$ *satisfies* $(\mathrm{H1}_p)$*,* $(\mathrm{H2}_p)$ *and it is a continuous function.*

Analogously it holds

**Proposition 6.** *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *be a bounded open set, let* $\alpha : [0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$ *be a convex and increasing function, such that* $\alpha(0) = 0$ *and let* $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to [0,+\infty)$ *be a continuous function satisfying the following conditions. For a.e.* $(x,u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ *and for every* $(v,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$ *it results*

$$\frac{1}{C}(\alpha(|v|) + |\xi|) - C \le f(x,u,v,\xi) \le C(1 + \alpha(|v|) + |\xi|). \tag{8}$$

*For every compact set* $K \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n$ *there exists a continuous function* $\omega'_K : \mathbb{R} \to [0,+\infty)$ *such that* $\omega'_K(0) = 0$ *and*

$$|f(x,u,v,\xi) - f(x',u',v,\xi)| \le \omega'_K(|x-x'| + |u-u'|)(1 + \alpha(|v|) + |\xi|), \tag{9}$$

$\forall (x,u),(x',u') \in K,\ \forall\ (v,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$.
*For every* $x_0 \in \Omega$ *and* $\varepsilon > 0$*, there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that*

$$|x - x_0| \le \delta \ \Rightarrow\ f(x,u,v,\xi) - f(x_0,u,v,\xi) \ge -\varepsilon(1 + \alpha(|v|) + |\xi|), \tag{10}$$

$\forall\ (u,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$.

*Let* $CQf$ *be the convex- quasiconvexification of* $f$ *(see* (7)*). Then* $CQf$ *satisfies analogous conditions to* (8)*,* (9) *and* (10)*. Moreover* $CQf$ *is a continuous function.*

**Remark 7.** *We observe that, if from one hand* (8)*,* (9)*,* (10) *generalize* $(H1_p)$ *and* $(H2_p)$*, from the other hand they can be regarded also as a stronger version of* $(H1_\infty)$ *and* $(H2_\infty)$*.*

In order to provide an integral representation for $\overline{J}_p$ in (2) and $\overline{J}_\infty$ in (3) on $W^{1,1} \times L^p$ and $W^{1,1} \times L^\infty$ respectively, we prove some preliminary results.
For every $p \in (1,+\infty]$ we introduce the following functionals $J_{CQf} : L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined as

$$J_{CQf}(u,v) := \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_\Omega CQf(x,u(x),v(x),\nabla u(x))\,dx & \text{if } (u,v) \in W^{1,1} \times L^p, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and its relaxed one

$$\overline{J_{CQf}}(u,v) := \inf\Big\{\liminf_n J_{CQf}(u_n,v_n) : \quad (u_n,v_n) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m),$$

$$u_n \to u \text{ in } L^1, v_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v \text{ in } L^p\Big\}.$$

**Lemma 8.** *Let* $f : \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to [0,+\infty)$ *be a continuous function. Let* $p \in (1,+\infty]$ *and consider the functionals* $J$ *and* $J_{CQf}$ *and their corresponding relaxed functionals* $\overline{J}_p$ *and* $\overline{J_{CQf}}$*. If* $f$ *satisfies conditions* $(H1_p) - (H2_p)$ *(if* $p \in (1,+\infty)$*), and both* $f$ *and* $CQf$ *satisfy* $(H1_\infty) - (H2_\infty)$ *(if* $p = +\infty$*), then*

$$\overline{J}_p(u,v) = \overline{J_{CQf}}(u,v)$$

*for every* $(u,v) \in BV(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$*.*

**Remark 9.** *We emphasize that in the above lemma, by virtue of Proposition 5, if* $p \in (1,+\infty)$ *it is enough to assume growth and continuity hypotheses just on* $f$ *(and not on* $CQf$*). If* $p = +\infty$*, by virtue of Proposition 6, we can also only make assumptions of* $f$*, replacing conditions* $(H1_\infty)$ *and* $(H2_\infty)$ *by* (8) $-$(10).

*Proof.* The argument is close to the proof of [21, Lemma 3.1]. First we observe that, since $CQf \leq f$, it results $\overline{J_{CQf}} \leq \overline{J}_p$. Next we prove the opposite inequality in the nontrivial case that $\overline{J_{CQf}}(u,v) < +\infty$. For fixed $\delta > 0$, we can consider $(u_n,v_n) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ with $u_n \to u$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $v_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in $L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ and such that

$$\overline{J_{CQf}}(u,v) \geq \lim_n \int_\Omega CQf(x,u_n(x),v_n(x),\nabla u_n(x))\,dx - \delta.$$

Applying the results in [8] and [9], for each $n$ there exists a sequence $\{(u_{n,k},v_{n,k}\}$ converging to $(u_n,v_n)$ weakly in $W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\int_\Omega CQf(x,u_n(x),v_n(x),\nabla u_n(x))\,dx = \lim_k \int_\Omega f(x,u_{n,k}(x),v_{n,k}(x),\nabla u_{n,k}(x))\,dx.$$

Consequently

$$\overline{J_{CQf}}(u,v) \geq \lim_n \lim_k \int_\Omega f(x,u_{n,k}(x),v_{n,k}(x),\nabla u_{n,k}(x))\,dx - \delta, \tag{11}$$

$$\lim_n \lim_k \|u_{n,k} - u\|_{L^1} = 0.$$

and

$$v_{n,k} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v \text{ in } L^p \text{ as } k \to +\infty \text{ and } n \to +\infty.$$

Via a diagonal argument (remind that weak $L^p$ and weak $*L^\infty$- topologies are metrizable on bounded sets), there exists a sequence $\{(u_{n,k_n},v_{n,k_n})\}$ satisfying $u_{n,k_n} \to u$ in $L^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $v_{n,k_n} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in $L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ and realizing the double limit in the right hand side of (11). Thus, it results

$$\overline{J_{CQf}}(u,v) \geq \lim_n \int_\Omega f(x,u_{n,k_n}(x),v_{n,k_n}(x),\nabla u_{n,k_n}(x))\,dx - \delta \geq \overline{J}_p(u,v) - \delta.$$

Letting $\delta$ go to 0 the conclusion follows. □

### 2.3 Some Results on Measure Theory

Let $\Omega$ be a generic open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ the space of all signed Radon measures in $\Omega$ with bounded total variation. By the Riesz Representation Theorem, $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ can be identified to the dual of the separable space $\mathcal{C}_0(\Omega)$ of continuous functions on $\Omega$ vanishing on the boundary $\partial\Omega$. The $N$-dimensional Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is designated as $\mathcal{L}^N$ while $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ denotes the $(N-1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative Radon measure, we denote by $\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}$ the Radon-Nikodým derivative of $\mu$ with respect to $\lambda$. By a generalization of the Besicovich Differentiation Theorem (see [2, Proposition 2.2]), it can be proved that there exists a Borel set $E \subset \Omega$ such that $\lambda(E) = 0$ and

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}(x) = \lim_{\rho \to 0^+} \frac{\mu(x + \rho\, C))}{\lambda(x + \rho\, C))}$$

for all $x \in \operatorname{Supp} \mu \setminus E$ and any open convex set $C$ containing the origin. (Recall that the set $E$ is independent of $C$.)

We also recall the following generalization of Lebesgue-Besicovitch Differentiation Theorem, as stated in [18, Theorem 2.8].

**Theorem 10.** *If $\mu$ is a nonnegative Radon measure and if $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d;\mu)$ then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{1}{\mu(x + \varepsilon C)} \int_{x+\varepsilon C} |f(y) - f(x)| d\mu(y) = 0,$$

*for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and for every bounded, convex, open set $C$ containing the origin.*

In particular, if $v \in L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, then, for $\mathcal{L}^N$-a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{|B_\varepsilon(x)|} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x)} |v(y) - v(x)|\, dy = 0. \tag{12}$$

In the sequel we exploit the Calderón-Zygmund theorem for $u \in BV$, cf. [3, Theorem 3.83, page 176]

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon |B_\varepsilon(x)|} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x)} |u(y) - u(x) - \nabla u(x)(y - x)|\, dy = 0 \quad \mathcal{L}^N - a.e.\ x \in \Omega. \tag{13}$$

# 3 Lower semicontinuity in $W^{1,1} \times L^p$

This section is devoted to provide a lower bound for the integral representation of $\overline{J}_p$ in (2) under assumptions (H1$_p$) and (H2$_p$), as stated in Theorem 14. Clearly this is equivalent to prove the lower semicontinuity with respect to the $L^1$-strong × $L^p$-weak topology of $\displaystyle\int_\Omega CQf(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x))dx$, when $(u, v) \in W^{1,1} \times L^p$.

Indeed we prove the following result

**Theorem 11.** *Let* $\Omega$ *be a bounded open set of* $\mathbb{R}^N$*, and let* $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to [0,+\infty)$ *be a continuous function. Assuming that* $f$ *satisfies hypotheses* $(\mathrm{H1}_p)$ *and* $(\mathrm{H2}_p)$*, and it is convex-quasiconvex, it results that* $\int_\Omega f(x,u(x),v(x),\nabla u(x))\,dx$ *is lower semicontinuous in* $W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$*, with respect to the (*$L^1$*-strong ×* $L^p$*-weak)- convergence.*

*Proof.* The proof is mostly a combination of the theorems in [18] and [14], which used already some ideas from [17]. For convenience of the reader we present here some details, however we may refer to some separate results in the papers mentioned above.

Let

$$G(u,v) = \int_\Omega f(x,u(x),v(x),\nabla u(x))\,dx.$$

It's enough to prove that for every $(u,v) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)\times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, $G(u,v) \leq \liminf J(u_n,v_n)$ for any $u_n \to u$ in $L^1$ with $u_n \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v_n \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^p$.

Using the same arguments as in [1, Proof of Theorem II.4] (see also [17, Proposition 2.4]) and the density of smooth functions in $L^p$, we can reduce to the case where $u_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N;\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Moreover, we can also suppose

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} J(u_n,v_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} J(u_n,v_n) < +\infty.$$

Then $J(u_n,v_n)$ is bounded and so, up to a subsequence, $\mu_n := f(x,u_n,v_n,\nabla u_n)dx \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu$ in the sense of measures for some positive measure $\mu$.

By the Radon-Nikodym theorem, $\mu = g\mathcal{L}^N + \mu_s$ for some $g \in L^1(\Omega)$, with $\mu_s$ singular with respect to $\mathcal{L}^N$. It will be enough to prove the following inequality:

$$g(x) \geq f(x,u(x),v(x),\nabla u(x)),\ \mathcal{L}^N - a.e.\ x \in \Omega. \tag{14}$$

Indeed, once proved (14), since $\mu_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \mu$, by the lower semicontinuity of $\mu$, and since $\mu_s$ is nonnegative

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to+\infty} J(u_n,v_n) &= \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_\Omega f(x,u_n(x),v_n(x),\nabla u_n(x))\,dx \\ &\geq \int_\Omega d\mu(x) = \int_\Omega g(x)\,dx + \int_\Omega d\mu_s(x) \\ &\geq \int_\Omega f(x,u(x),v(x),\nabla u(x))\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (14), we follow the proofs of Theorem 2.1 in [17] and condition (2.3) in [14]. We start freezing the terms $x$ and $u$. This will be achieved through Steps 1 to 5.

By the Besicovitch derivation theorem

$$g(x)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{\mu(B_\varepsilon(x))}{|B_\varepsilon(x)|}\in\mathbb{R}\quad \mathcal{L}^N-a.e.\ x\in\Omega. \tag{15}$$

Let $x_0$ be any element of $\Omega$ satisfying (15), (13) and (12) (notice that such an $x_0$ can be taken in $\Omega$ up to a set of Lebesgue-measure zero) and let's prove that $g(x_0)\geq f(x_0,u(x_0),v(x_0),\nabla u(x_0))$. First remark that, as noticed before, since $v_n\rightharpoonup v$ in $L^p$, we have $||v_n||_{L^p},||v||_{L^p}\leq C$.

**Step 1. Localization.** This part can be reproduced in the same way as in [17]: pages 1085-1086. We present some details for the reader's convenienece. We start providing a first estimate for $g$. Observe that we can choose a sequence $\varepsilon\to 0^+$ such that $\mu\left(\partial B_\varepsilon\left(x_0\right)\right)=0$. Let $B:=B_1(0)$. Applying Proposition 1.203 *iii)* in [16],

$$\begin{aligned}
&g(x_0)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^N}\frac{\mu(B_\varepsilon(x_0))}{|B|}\\
&=\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^N|B|}\int_{B_\varepsilon(x_0)}f(y,u_n(y),v_n(y),\nabla u_n(y))\,dy\\
&=\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B f(x_0+\varepsilon x,u_n(x_0+\varepsilon x),v_n(x_0+\varepsilon x),\nabla u_n(x_0+\varepsilon x))\,dx\\
&\geq\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B f(x_0+\varepsilon x,u(x_0)+\varepsilon w_{n,\varepsilon}(x),v_n(x_0+\varepsilon x),\nabla w_{n,\varepsilon}(x))\,dx
\end{aligned}$$

where $w_{n,\varepsilon}(x)=\dfrac{u_n(x_0+\varepsilon x)-u(x_0)}{\varepsilon}$.

**Step 2. Blow-up.** Next we will "identify the limits" of $w_{n,\varepsilon}$ and $v_n(x_0+\varepsilon\cdot)$ in a sense to be made precise below. Define $w_0:B\to\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $w_0(x)=\nabla u(x_0)x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to+\infty}||w_{n,\varepsilon}-w_0||_{L^1(B)}=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_B\left|\frac{u_n(x_0+\varepsilon x)-u(x_0)}{\varepsilon}-\nabla u(x_0)x\right|\,dx\\
&=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{N+1}}\int_{B_\varepsilon(x_0)}|u(y)-u(x_0)-\nabla u(x_0)(y-x_0)|\,dy=0
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (13) in the last identity.

Let $q$ be the Hölder's conjugate exponent of $p$. Since $L^q$ is separable, consider $\{\varphi_l\}$ a countable dense set of functions in $L^q(B)$. Then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lim_{n\to+\infty}\left|\int_B(v_n(x_0+\varepsilon x)-v(x_0))\varphi_l(x)dx\right|=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left|\int_B(v(x_0+\varepsilon x)-v(x_0))\varphi_l(x)dx\right|=0$$

where we have used in the last identity the fact that $x_0$ is a Lebesgue point for $v$.

**Step 3. Diagonalization.** Arguing as in [18] and [14] we can use a diagonalization argument to find $\varepsilon_n\in\mathbb{R}^+$, $w_n\in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v_n\in L^p(B;\mathbb{R}^m)\cap$

$C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N;\mathbb{R}^m)$, such that $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, $w_n \to w_0$ in $L^1(B;\mathbb{R}^n)$, $v_n \rightharpoonup v(x_0)$ in $L^p(B;\mathbb{R}^m)$ as $n \to +\infty$ and

$$g(x_0) \geq \lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B f(x_0+\varepsilon_n x, u(x_0)+\varepsilon_n w_n(x), v_n(x), \nabla w_n(x))\,dx.$$

**Step 4. Truncation.** We show that the sequences $\{w_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ constructed in the preceding steps can be replaced by sequences $\{\widetilde{w}_n\} \subset W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}\left(\mathbb{R}^N;\mathbb{R}^n\right)$ and $\{\widetilde{v}_n\} \subset L^p(B;\mathbb{R}^m)\cap C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N;\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\|\widetilde{w}_n\|_{W^{1,1}(B;\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C$, $\widetilde{w}_n \to w_0$ in $L^\infty\left(B;\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $\|\widetilde{v}_n\|_{L^p(B;\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C$, $\widetilde{v}_n \rightharpoonup v(x_0)$ in $L^p\left(B;\mathbb{R}^m\right)$ and

$$g\left(x_0\right) \geq \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N\left(B\right)}\int_B f\left(x_0+r_n x, u(x_0)+r_n\widetilde{v}_n\left(x\right), \tilde{v}_n(x), \nabla\widetilde{w}_n\left(x\right)\right)dx.$$

Let $0 < s < t < 1$ and $\lambda > 1$ and define $\varphi_{s,t}$ a cut-off function such that $0 \leq \varphi_{s,t} \leq 1$, $\varphi_{s,t}\left(\tau\right) = 1$ if $\tau \leq s$, $\varphi_{s,t}\left(\tau\right) = 0$ if $\tau \geqslant t$ and $\left\|\varphi'_{s,t}\right\|_\infty \leq \frac{C}{t-s}$.

Set

$$w^{n,\lambda}_{s,t}\left(x\right) := w_0\left(x\right) + \varphi_{s,t}\left(\left|w_n\left(x\right)-w_0\left(x\right)\right| + \frac{\left|v_n\left(x\right)\right|}{\lambda}\right)\left(w_n\left(x\right)-w_0\left(x\right)\right),$$
$$v^{n,\lambda}_{s,t}\left(x\right) := v(x_0) + \varphi_{s,t}\left(\left|w_n\left(x\right)-w_0\left(x\right)\right| + \frac{\left|v_n\left(x\right)\right|}{\lambda}\right)\left(v_n\left(x\right)-v(x_0)\right).$$

Clearly,

$$\left\|w^{n,\lambda}_{s,t} - w_0\right\|_\infty \leq t \text{ and } v^{n,\lambda}_{s,t} \rightharpoonup v(x_0) \text{ in } L^p \text{ as } n \to +\infty. \tag{16}$$

Define

$$h_n\left(x,s,b,A\right) := f(x_0+r_n x, u(x_0)+r_n s, b, A).$$

By the growth conditions there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$c\left(|b|^p + |A|\right) - C \leq h_n\left(x,s,b,A\right) \leq C\left(|b|^p + |A| + 1\right) \tag{17}$$

for some constants $c,\ C > 0$. Consequently there exist $C > 0$ such that

$$-C \leq h_n(x, w_0(x), v(x_0), \nabla w_0(x)) \leq C$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_B h_n\left(x, w^{n,\lambda}_{s,t}\left(x\right), v^{n,\lambda}_{s,t}\left(x\right), \nabla w^{n,\lambda}_{s,t}\left(x\right)\right)dx = \\
&= \int_{B\cap\left\{\left|w_n(x)-w_0(x)\right| + \frac{\left|v_n(x)\right|}{\lambda} \leq s\right\}} h_n\left(x, w_n, v_n, \nabla w_n\right)dx \\
&+ \int_{B\cap\left\{s < \left|w_n(x)-w_0(x)\right| + \frac{\left|v_n(x)\right|}{\lambda} \leq t\right\}} h_n\left(x, w^{n,\lambda}_{s,t}, v^{n,\lambda}_{s,t}, \nabla w^{n,\lambda}_{s,t}\right)dx \\
&+ \int_{B\cap\left\{\left|w_n(x)-w_0(x)\right| + \frac{\left|v_n(x)\right|}{\lambda} > t\right\}} h_n\left(x, w_0\left(x\right), v(x_0), \nabla w_0\left(x\right)\right)dx \\
&:= I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

By the growth conditions and the definition of $h_n$we have that

$$I_3 \leq C \left| \left\{ x \in B : |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)\,|}{\lambda} > t \right\} \right| .$$

On the other hand, if $s < |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} < t$ then

$$\nabla w_{s,t}^{n,\lambda}(x) = \nabla u(x_0) + \varphi_{s,t}\left( |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \tfrac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} \right) (\nabla w_n(x) - \nabla w_0(x)) + \\ (w_n(x) - w_0(x)) \otimes \varphi'_{s,t}\left( |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \tfrac{|v_n|(x)}{\lambda} \right) \nabla \left( |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \tfrac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} \right) .$$

By (17) we have

$$I_2 \leq C \int_{B \cap \left\{ s < |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} \leq t \right\}} (1 + |\nabla w_n(x) - \nabla w_0(x)| + |v_n(x) - v(x_0)|^p)\, dx + \\ \frac{C}{t-s} \int_{B \cap \left\{ s < |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} \leq t \right\}} |w_n(x) - w_0(x)|\, |\nabla(|w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda})| dx.$$

We remark that for almost every $t$ and $\lambda$ we have

$$\lim_{s \to t^-} \int_{\left\{ s < |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} < t \right\}} (1 + |\nabla w_n(x) - \nabla u(x_0)| + |v_n(x) - v(x_0)|^p)\, dx = 0 \tag{18}$$

and by the coarea formula

$$\lim_{s \to t^-} \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{B \cap \left\{ s < |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} \leq t \right\}} |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| \cdot \\ \left| \nabla \left( |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} \right) \right| dx \leq \\ \leq \lim_{s \to t^-} \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{B \cap \left\{ s < |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} \leq t \right\}} \left( |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} \right) \\ \left| \nabla \left( |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} \right) \right| dx \\ \leq t \mathcal{H}^{N-1} \left( \left\{ x \in B : |w_n(x) - w_0(x)| + \frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda} = t \right\} \right) . \tag{19}$$

Due to the fact that $\{v_n\}$ is a $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^m)$ sequence, for every $C > 0$ , for every $n$ there exists $\lambda_n \in [1, +\infty)$ such that $\lambda_n \leq \lambda_{n+1}$, $\lambda_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$

and $\displaystyle\int_B \frac{|\nabla |v_n||}{\lambda_n} dx \leq C$. On the other hand by (17)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B\cap\left\{|w_n(x)-w_0(x)|+\frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda_n}\leq 1\right\}} |\nabla\left(|w_n\left(x\right)-w_0\left(x\right)|\right)| \, dx \\
&\leq \int_{B\cap\left\{|w_n(x)-w_0(x)|+\frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda_n}\leq 1\right\}} \left(|\nabla w_n\left(x\right)|+C\right) dx \\
&\leq C\int_B h_n\left(x, u_n, \left(x\right), v_n\left(x\right), \nabla w_n\left(x\right)\right) dx \leq C
\end{aligned}$$

since $\{w_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are convergent.

Thus

$$\int_{B\cap\left\{|w_n(x)-w_0(x)|+\frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda_n}\leq 1\right\}} \left|\nabla\left(|w_n\left(x\right)-w_0\left(x\right)|+\frac{|v_n\left(x\right)|}{\lambda_n}\right)\right| dx \leq C.$$

Recall that $\displaystyle\int_B \frac{|v_n|^p}{\lambda_n} dx \leq C$ and by Hölder's inequality also $\displaystyle\int_B \frac{|v_n|}{\lambda_n} dx \leq C$. Hence, by Lemma 2.6 in [17] there exists $t_n \in \left[\left(\|w_n - w_0\|_{L^1} + \frac{\|v_n\|_{L^1}}{\lambda_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \left\|\left(\|w_n - w_0\|_{L^1} + \frac{\|v_n\|_{L^1}}{\lambda_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right\|\right]$ such that (18) and (19) hold (with $t = t_n$), and

$$t_n\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{x\in B: |w_n\left(x\right)-w_0\left(x\right)|+\frac{|v_n\left(x\right)|}{\lambda_n}=t_n\}) \leq \frac{C}{\ln\left(\|w_n-w_0\|_{L^1}+\frac{\|v_n\|_{L^1}}{\lambda_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}}}.$$

According to (18) and (19) we may choose $0 < s_n < t_n$ such that

$$\int_{\left\{s_n<|w_n(x)-w_0(x)|+\frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda_n}\leq t_n\right\}} \left(1+|\nabla w_n\left(x\right)-\nabla u\left(x_0\right)|+|v_n\left(x\right)-v(x_0)|^p\right) dx = O(\frac{1}{n}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{t_n-s_n}\int_{B\cap\left\{s_n<|w_n(x)-w_0(x)|+\frac{|v_n(x)|}{\lambda_n}\leq t_n\right\}} \left(|w_n\left(x\right)-w_0\left(x\right)|+\frac{|v_n\left(x\right)|}{\lambda_n}\right)\cdot \\
&\qquad\qquad \left|\nabla\left(|w_n\left(x\right)-w_0\left(x\right)|+\frac{|v_n\left(x\right)|}{\lambda_n}\right)\right| dx \\
&\leq t_n\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\left\{x\in B: |w_n\left(x\right)-w_0\left(x\right)|+\frac{|v_n\left(x\right)|}{\lambda_n}=t_n\right\}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\widetilde{w}_n\left(x\right) := w^{n,\lambda_n}_{s_n,t_n}\left(x\right), \qquad \widetilde{v}_n\left(x\right) := v^{n,\lambda_n}_{s_n,t_n}\left(x\right)$$

thus by (16)

$$\|\widetilde{w}_n - w_0\|_\infty \leq t_n \to 0, \qquad \widetilde{v}_n \rightharpoonup v(x_0) \text{ in } L^p \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

Using the previous estimates we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
g\left(x_0\right) &\geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N(B)} \int_B f\left(x_0 + r_n x, u(x_0) + r_n w_n(x), v_n(x), \nabla w_n(x)\right) dx \\
&\geq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N\left(B\right)} \int_{B\cap\left\{\left|w_n(x)-w_0(x)\right| + \frac{\left|v_n(x)\right|}{\lambda_n}\leq s\right\}} h_n\left(x, w_n\left(x\right) v_n(x), \nabla w_n\left(x\right)\right) dx \\
&\geq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N\left(B\right)} \int_B h_n\left(x, \widetilde{w}_n\left(x\right), \widetilde{v}_n\left(x\right), \nabla \widetilde{w}_n\left(x\right)\right) dx - O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \\
&- \frac{C}{\ln\left(\left\|w_n - w_0\right\|_{L^1(B)} + \frac{\left\|v_n\right\|_{L^1(B')}}{\lambda_n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{6}}} \\
&- C\mathcal{L}^N\left(\left\{x\in B : \left|w_n\left(x\right) - w_0\left(x\right)\right| + \frac{\left|v_n\left(x\right)\right|}{\lambda_n} > t_n\right\}\right) \\
&= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N\left(B\right)} \int_B h_n\left(x, \widetilde{w}_n\left(x\right), \widetilde{v}_n\left(x\right), \nabla \widetilde{w}_n\left(x\right)\right) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$t_n \geq \left(\left\|w_n - w_0\right\|_{L^1(B)} + \frac{||v_n||_{L^1(B)}}{\lambda_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^N\left(\left\{x\in B : \left|w_n\left(x\right) - w_0\left(x\right)\right| + \frac{\left|v_n\left(x\right)\right|}{\lambda_n} > t_n\right\}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{t_n}\left(\left\|w_n - w_0\right\|_{L^1(B)} + \frac{\left\|v_n\right\|_{L^1(B)}}{\lambda_n}\right) \\
&\leq \left(\left\|w_n - w_0\right\|_{L^1(B)} + \frac{\left\|v_n\right\|_{L^1(B)}}{\lambda_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The bound of $\{\|\nabla\widetilde{w}_n\|_{L^1}\}$ follows from $(17)$.

**Step 5.** We now fix in $f$ the value of $x$ and $u$. Indeed, using hypothesis $(H2_p)$ and the fact that $\nabla\tilde{w}_n$ and $|\tilde{v}_n|^p$ have bounded $L^1$ norm, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x_0) &\geq \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x_0 + \varepsilon_n x, u(x_0) + \varepsilon_n \tilde{w}_n(x), \tilde{v}_n(x), \nabla\tilde{w}_n(x))\, dx \\
&\geq \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B f(x_0, u(x_0), \tilde{v}_n(x), \nabla\tilde{w}_n(x))\, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 6.** At this point we are in an analogous context to [14] and the desired inequality follows in the same way. It relies on the slicing method in order to modify $\tilde{v}_n$ and $\tilde{w}_n$ and exploit the convex-quasiconvexity of $f$, namely it is possible to find new sequences, denoted by $\bar{v}_n$ and $\bar{w}_n$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \bar{v}_n(z)\, dz = v(x_0) \text{ and } \bar{w}_j \in w_0 + W_0^{1,\infty}(B;\mathbb{R}^n).$$

□

# 4 Relaxation in $W^{1,1} \times L^\infty$

This section is devoted to characterize the relaxed functional $\overline{J}_\infty$ introduced in (3).

Indeed we prove the following relaxation result

**Theorem 12.** *Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^N$, and let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to [0, +\infty)$ be a continuous function. Then, assuming that $f$ and $CQf$ satisfy hypotheses* $(\mathrm{H1}_\infty)$ *and* $(\mathrm{H2}_\infty)$

$$\overline{J}_\infty(u, v) \quad = \quad \int_\Omega CQf(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x))\, dx,$$

*for every $(u, v) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$.*

**Remark 13.** *1) We recall that if hypotheses $(H1_\infty)$ and $(H2_\infty)$ are replaced by* $(8)-(10)$*, Propositions 6 and 8 guarantee the validity of Theorem 12 assuming that only $f$ satisfies* $(8)-(10)$*.*
*2) We also observe that Theorem 12 can be proven also imposing $(H1_\infty)$ and $(H2_\infty)$ only on the function $f$ but with the further requirement that $f$ satisfies* (5)*.*
*3) We also stress that if $f$ satisfies $(H1_p)$ and $(H2_p)$ then clearly $\overline{J}_p(u, v) \leq \overline{J}_\infty(u, v)$ for every $(u, v) \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \times L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$.*

*Proof of Theorem 12.* The thesis will be achieved by double inequality. Clearly the lower bound can be proven as for the case $W^{1,1} \times L^p$, with a proof easier than that of Theorem 11, since it is not necessary 'truncate' the $\{v_n\}$ which are already bounded in $L^\infty$. For what concerns the upper bound, we first observe that by virtue of Proposition 8, there is no loss of generality in assuming $f$ already convex-quasiconvex. In order to provide an upper bound for $\overline{J}_\infty$ we start by localizing our functional. The following procedure is entirely similar to [4, Theorem 4.3]. We define for every open set $A \subset \Omega$ and for any $(u, v) \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$

$$\overline{F}_\infty(u, v, A) := \inf\left\{\liminf_n F(u_n, v_n, A) : u_n \to u \text{ in } L^1(A; \mathbb{R}^n), v_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v \text{ in } L^\infty(A; \mathbb{R}^m)\right\}$$

where

$$F(u, v, A) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_A f(x, u(x), v(x), \nabla u(x))\, dx \text{ if } (u, v) \in W^{1,1}(A; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^\infty(A; \mathbb{R}^m), \\ +\infty \ \text{ in } (L^1(A; \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus W^{1,1}(A; \mathbb{R}^n)) \times L^\infty(A; \mathbb{R}^m). \end{cases}$$

We start remarking that $(H1_\infty)$ implies that for every $u \in BV(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and for every $v \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\|v\|_{L^\infty} \leq M$, there exists a constant $C_M$ such that $\overline{F}_\infty(u, v, A) \leq C_M(|A| + |Du|(A))$. Moreover one has
1) $\overline{F}_\infty$ is local, i.e. $\overline{F}_\infty(u, v, A) = \overline{F}_\infty(u', v', A)$, for every $A \subset \Omega$ open, $(u, v), (u', v') \in L^1(A; \mathbb{R}^n) \times L^\infty(A; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

2) $\overline{F}_\infty$ is sequentially lower semi-continuous, i.e. $\overline{F}_\infty(u,v,A) \leq \liminf \overline{F}_\infty(u_n,v_n,A)$, $\forall\ A \subset \Omega$ open, $\forall\ u_n \to u$ in $L^1(A;\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $v_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in $L^\infty(A;\mathbb{R}^m)$;
3) $\overline{F}_\infty(u,v,\cdot)$ is the trace on $\mathcal{A}(\Omega) := \{A \subset \Omega :\ A \text{ is open}\}$ of a Borel measure in $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ (the Borelians of $\Omega$).

Condition 1) follows from the fact the adopted convergence doesn't see sets of null Lebesgue measure. Condition 2) follows by a diagonalization argument, entirely similar to the proof of (ii) in [14]. Condition 3) follows applying De Giorgi-Letta criterium, (cf. [12]) and indeed proving that for any fixed $(u,v) \in BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$,

$$\overline{F}_\infty(u,v,A) \leq \overline{F}_\infty(u,v,C) + \overline{F}_\infty(u,v,A \setminus \overline{B}),\ \forall\ A,B,C \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega).$$

We omit the details, since they are very similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [4]. The only difference consists of the fact that one has to deal with both $u's$ and $v's$ and exploit the growth condition $(H1_\infty)$.

Since $\overline{J}_\infty(u,v) = \overline{F}_\infty(u,v,\Omega)$ and $\overline{F}_\infty(u,v,\cdot)$ is the trace of a Radon measure on the open subsets of $\Omega$, (i. e. $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$) absolutely continuous with respect to $|Du| + \mathcal{L}^N$, it will be enough to prove the following inequality

$$\frac{d\overline{F}_\infty(u,v,\cdot)}{d\mathcal{L}^N}(x) \leq f(x,u(x),v(x),\nabla u(x)),\ \mathcal{L}^N - a.e.\ x \in \Omega.$$

The proof of these inequalities follows closely [18], [4] and [5].
Assume first that $(u,v) \in (W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)) \times L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$. Fix a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that

$$\frac{d\overline{F}_\infty(u,v,\cdot)}{d\mathcal{L}^N}(x_0) \tag{20}$$

exists and is finite, which is also a Lebesgue point of $u$, $v$ and $\nabla u$ and a point of approximate differentiability for $u$. Clearly $\mathcal{L}^N$-a.e. $x_0 \in \Omega$ satisfy all the above requirements.
As in [18] (see formula (5.6) therein) we may also assume that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{|Q(x_0,\varepsilon)|} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} |u(x) - u(x_0)|(1 + |\nabla u(x)|)dx = 0, \tag{21}$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{|Q(x_0,\varepsilon)|} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} |v(x) - v(x_0)||\nabla u(x)|dx = 0, \tag{22}$$

(where we used Theorem 10 since $v \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ with respect to the measure $|\nabla u|\mathcal{L}^N$). Choose a sequence of numbers $\varepsilon \in (0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial\Omega))$. Then, clearly for any sequences $\{u_n\}$, $u_n \to u$ in $L^1$ , $\{v_n\}$, $v_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in $L^\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial\overline{F}_\infty(u,v,\cdot)}{\partial\mathcal{L}^N}(x_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \frac{\overline{F}_\infty(u,v,B_\varepsilon(x_0))}{|B_\varepsilon(x_0)|} \leq \\ &\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \liminf_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{|B_\varepsilon(x_0)|} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x_0)} f(x,u_n(x),v_n(x),\nabla u_n(x))dx. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

By virtue of Proposition 2.2 in [2] we can replace the ball $B_\varepsilon(x_0)$ in (23) by a cube of side length $\varepsilon$, and in fact from now on we consider such cubes.

As in Proposition 4.6 of [4], (see also [18] and [15]) we consider the Yosida transforms of $f$, defined as

$$f_\lambda(x,u,v,\xi) := \sup_{(x',u')\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n}\{f(x',u',v,\xi) - \lambda[|x-x'|+|u-u'|](1+|\xi|+|v|)\}$$

for every $\lambda > 0$. Then
*(i)* $f_\lambda(x,u,v,\xi) \geq f(x,u,v,\xi)$ and $f_\lambda(x,u,v,\xi)$ decreases to $f(x,u,v,\xi)$ as $\lambda \to +\infty$.
*(ii)* $f_\lambda(x,u,v,\xi) \geq f_\eta(x,u,v,\xi)$ if $\lambda \leq \eta$ for every $(x,u,v,\xi) \in \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$.
*(iii)* $|f_\lambda(x,u,v,\xi) - f_\lambda(x',u',v,\xi)| \leq \lambda(|x-x'|+|u-u'|)(1+|\xi|+|v|)$ for every $(x,u,v,\xi)$, $(x',u',v,\xi) \in \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$.
*(iv)* The approximation is uniform on compact sets. Precisely let $K$ be a compact subset of $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\delta > 0$. There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$f(x,u,v,\xi) \leq f_\lambda(x,u,v,\xi) \leq f(x,u,v,\xi) + \delta(1+|v|+|\xi|)$ for every $(x,u,v,\xi) \in K\times\mathbb{R}^m\times\mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$.

Let $x_0$ such that (20) and (21) hold, let $\{\varrho_n\}$ be a sequence of standard symmetric mollifiers and set $\begin{cases} u_n := u * \varrho_n, \\ v_n := v \end{cases}$. It results that $\begin{cases} u_n \to u & \text{in } L^1(Q(x_0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R}^n), \\ v_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} v & \text{in } L^\infty(Q(x_0,\varepsilon);\mathbb{R}^m). \end{cases}$
Fix $\delta > 0$ and let $K := \overline{B}(x_0, \frac{\mathrm{dist}(x_0,\partial\Omega)}{2}) \times \overline{B}(0, \|u\|_\infty)$. By $(i) \div (iv)$,

$$f(x,u_n(x),v(x),\nabla u_n(x)) \leq f_\lambda(x,u_n(x),v(x),\nabla u_n(x)) \leq$$

$$f_\lambda(x_0,u(x_0),v_n(x),\nabla u_n(x)) + \lambda(|x-x_0|+|u_n(x)-u(x_0)|)(1+|v|+|\nabla u_n(x)|) \leq$$

$$f(x_0,u(x_0),v(x),\nabla u_n(x)) + \delta(1+|\nabla u_n(x)|+|v(x)|) + \lambda(|x-x_0|+|u_n(x)-u(x_0)|)$$

$$\times(1+|\nabla u_n(x)|+|v(x)|).$$

Since $\nabla u_n(x) = (\nabla u * \varrho_n)(x)$,

$$\overline{F}_\infty(u, v, Q(x_0, \varepsilon)) \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} f(x, u_n(x), v(x), \nabla u_n(x))dx \leq$$

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} f(x_0, u(x_0), v(x), \nabla u_n(x))dx +$$

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} \delta(1 + |\nabla u_n(x)| + |v(x)|) + \lambda(|x - x_0| + |u_n(x) - u(x_0)|) \\ \times(1 + |\nabla u_n(x)| + |v(x)|)dx \leq$$

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} f\left(x_0, u(x_0), v(x_0), \nabla u(x_0)\right) dx +$$

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} \beta\left(1 + |\nabla u(x_0) + | + |\nabla u * \varrho_n|\right) |v(x) - v(x_0)|dx +$$

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} \beta|\nabla u * \varrho_n - \nabla u(x_0)|dx +$$

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} \delta(1 + |\nabla u_n(x)| + |v(x)|) + \lambda(|x - x_0| + |u_n(x) - u(x_0)|) \\ \times(1 + |\nabla u_n(x)| + |v(x)|)dx \tag{24}$$

(where the constant $\beta$, depending on $\|v\|_{L^\infty}$, is the constant appearing in (5)). Since $\nabla u * \varrho_n \to \nabla u \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{n\times N})$ and $|D^s u|(\partial Q(x_0, \varepsilon)) = 0$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} \beta|\nabla u * \varrho_n - \nabla u(x_0)|dx \leq \beta \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(x_0)|dx. \tag{25}$$

Passing to the limit on the right hand side of (24), exploiting (25) in the third line and applying [18, Lemma 2.5], in the fourth line, we get

$$\overline{F}_\infty(u, v, Q(x_0, \varepsilon)) \leq |Q(x_0, \varepsilon)|(f(x_0, u(x_0), v(x_0), \nabla u(x_0))) +$$

$$\beta(1 + |\nabla u(x_0)|) \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} |v(x) - v(x_0)|dx +$$

$$\beta \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} |\nabla u * \varrho_n||v(x) - v(x_0)|dx + \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} |\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(x_0)|dx +$$

$$(\lambda\varepsilon + \delta)\left[(1 + C)|Q(x_0, \varepsilon)|\right] + \lambda \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)} |u_n - u(x_0)|(1 + C + |\nabla u_n|)dx.$$

Recalling that $x_0$ is a Lebesgue point for $v$, $\nabla u$ and (20) holds, we have

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{|Q(x_0,\varepsilon)|}\beta(1+|\nabla u(x_0)|)\int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)}|v(x)-v(x_0)|dx = 0,$$

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{|Q(x_0,\varepsilon)|}\int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)}|\nabla u(x)-\nabla u(x_0)|dx = 0,$$

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}(\lambda\varepsilon+\delta)(1+C)\frac{|Q(x_0,\varepsilon)|}{|Q(x_0,\varepsilon)|} = \delta(1+C).$$

Moreover by virtue of (21) and arguing as in the estimate of formula (5.11) of [18] we can conclude that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{\lambda}{|Q(x_0,\varepsilon)|}\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)}|u_n-u(x_0)|(1+C+|\nabla u_n|)dx = 0.$$

Then we can exploit (22) and argue again as done for (5.11) in [18] in order to evaluate

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\frac{\beta}{|Q(x_0,\varepsilon)|}\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)}|\nabla u*\varrho_n||v(x)-v(x_0)|dx.$$

We will apply [18, Lemma 2.5] and the dominated convergence theorem with respect to the measure $|\nabla u|dx$, obtaining

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)}|v(x)-v(x_0)||\nabla u_n(x)|dx \leq$$

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\int_{Q\left(x_0,\varepsilon+\frac{1}{n}\right)}(|v-v(x_0)|*\varrho_n)|\nabla u(x)|dx \leq$$

$$\int_{\overline{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)}}|v(x)-v(x_0)||\nabla u(x)|dx.$$

Taking into account that $|Du|(\partial Q(x_0,\varepsilon)) = 0$ for a.e. $\varepsilon$ one obtains from (21) that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0^+}\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{|Q(x_0,\varepsilon)|}\int_{Q(x_0,\varepsilon)}|v(x)-v(x_0)||\nabla u_n(x)|dx = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$g(x_0) = \frac{\partial\overline{F}_\infty(u,v)(x_0)}{\partial\mathcal{L}^N} \leq f(x_0,u(x_0),v(x_0),\nabla u(x_0)) + (1+C)\delta$$

Finally, we send $\delta$ to 0 and that concludes the proof, when $(u,v)\in(W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)\cap L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n))\times L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$.

To conclude the proof, we can argue as in [18, Theorem 2.16, Step 4], in turn inspired by [4], introducing the following approximation.
Let $\phi_n \in C^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\phi_n(y) = y$ if $y \in B_n(0)$, $\|\nabla\phi_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1$. By [3, Theorem 3.96] $\phi_n(u) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\phi_n(u) \to u$ in $L^1$, by the lower semicontinuity of $\overline{J}_\infty$ we get

$$\overline{J}_\infty(u,v) \leq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \int_\Omega f(x,\phi_n(u),v,\nabla\phi_n(u))\,dx.$$

Arguing in analogy with [4, Theorem 4.9] one can prove that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty} \int_\Omega f(x,\phi_n(u),v,\nabla\phi_n(u))\,dx \leq \int_\Omega f(x,u,v,\nabla u)\,dx,$$

and this concludes the proof. □

# 5 Relaxation in $W^{1,1} \times L^p$

This section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem. It relies on Theorem 12 and on some approximation results (see [4]).

**Theorem 14.** *Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^N$, and let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times N} \to [0,+\infty)$ be a continuous function. Then, assuming that $f$ satisfies hypotheses* (H1$_p$) *and* (H2$_p$)

$$\overline{J}_p(u,v) \quad = \quad \int_\Omega CQf(x,u(x),v(x),\nabla u(x))\,dx,$$

*for every $(u,v) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$.*

*Proof.* The lower bound follows from Theorem 11. For what concerns the upper bound, without loss of generality, by virtue of Lemma 8 and Propostion 5 we may assume that $f$ is convex-quasiconvex.

Observe first that since $f$ fulfills $(H1_p)$ and $(H2_p)$, then it satisfies $(H1_\infty)$ and $(H2_\infty)$ in the strong form $(8) - (10)$. Consequently

$$\overline{J}_p(u,v,\Omega) \leq \overline{J}_\infty(u,v,\Omega) \tag{26}$$

for every $(u,v) \in BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^\infty(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$.

For every positive real number $\lambda$, let $\tau_\lambda : [0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$ be defined as

$$\tau_\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \lambda, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \geq \lambda. \end{cases}$$

For every $v \in L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, define $v_\lambda := \tau_\lambda(|v|)v$. Clearly $\int_\Omega |v_\lambda|^p dx \leq \int_\Omega |v|^p dx$ and $v_\lambda \to v$ in $L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, as $\lambda \to +\infty$. By the lower semicontinuity of $\overline{J}_p$, (26), and Theorem 12, for every sequence $\{\lambda\}$ such that $\lambda \to +\infty$ we have that

$$\overline{J}_p(u,v) \leq \liminf_{\lambda\to\infty} \overline{J}_p(u,v_\lambda) = \liminf_{\lambda\to+\infty} \int_\Omega f(x,u(x),v_\lambda(x),\nabla u(x))dx.$$

Lebesgue's dominated convergence Theorem entails that

$$\overline{J}_p(u,v,\Omega) = \int_\Omega f(x,u(x),v(x),\nabla u(x))dx,$$

for every $(u,v) \in W^{1,1}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^p(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, and that concludes the proof. $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The work of A.M. Ribeiro was partially supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology) through PEst-OE/MAT/UI0297/2011 (CMA), UTA-CMU/MAT/0005/2009 and PTDC/MAT109973/2009.

The research of E. Zappale was partially supported by GNAMPA through Project 'Problemi variazionali e misure di Young nella meccanica dei materiali complessi' and Universitá del Sannio. The authors thank Irene Fonseca for suggesting the problem.

# References

[1] E. Acerbi & N. Fusco, *Semicontinuity problems in the Calculus of variations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **86**, (1984), 125-145.

[2] L. Ambrosio & G. Dal Maso, *On the Relaxation in $BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ of Quasi-convex Integrals*, Journal of Functional Analysis, **109**, (1992), 76-97.

[3] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco & D. Pallara, *Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (2000).

[4] L. Ambrosio, S. Mortola & V. M. Tortorelli, *Functionals with linear growth defined on vector valued BV functions*, J. Math. Pures Appl., IX. Sér. 70, No.3, (1991), 269-323.

[5] J. F. Babadjian, E. Zappale & H. Zorgati, *Dimensional reduction for energies with linear growth involving the bending moment.* J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **90**, no. 6, (2008), 520-549.

[6] G. Bouchitte, I. Fonseca & M.L. Mascarenhas , *The Cosserat Vector in Membrane Theory: A Variational Approach*, J. Convex Anal. **16**, No. 2, (2009) 351-365.

[7] A. Braides, I. Fonseca & G. Leoni, *A-quasiconvexity: relaxation and homogenization.*, ESAIM, Control Optim. Calc. Var. **5**, (2000), 539–577.

[8] G. Carita, A.M. Ribeiro & E. Zappale, *An homogenization result in $W^{1,p} \times L^q$*. J. Convex Anal. **18**, n. 4, (2011), 1093-1126.

[9] G. Carita, A.M. Ribeiro & E. Zappale, *Relaxation for some integral functionals in $W^{1,p}_w \times L^q_w$*. Bol. Soc. Port. Mat. Special Issue, (2010), 47-53.

[10] B. Dacorogna, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Second Edition, Springer, (2008).

[11] G. Dal Maso, I. Fonseca, G. Leoni & M. Morini, *Higher order quasiconvexity reduces to quasiconvexity*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **171**, n. 51, (2004), 55-81.

[12] E. De Giorgi & G. Letta, *Une notion générale de convergence faible pour des fonctions croissantes d'ensemble*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4), **1**, No.4, (1977), 61-99.

[13] I. Fonseca, G. Francfort & G. Leoni,*Thin elastic films: the impact of higher order perturbations.*, Quart. Appl. Math., **65**, No. 1, (2007), 69-98.

[14] I. Fonseca, D. Kinderlehrer & P. Pedregal,*Relaxation in $BV \times L^\infty$ of functionals depending on strain and composition.*, Lions, Jacques-Louis (ed.) et al., Boundary value problems for partial differential equations and applications. Dedicated to Enrico Magenes on the occasion of his 70th birthday. Paris: Masson. Res. Notes Appl. Math., **29**, (1993), 113-152.

[15] I. Fonseca, D. Kinderlehrer & P. Pedregal, *Energy functionals depending on elastic strain and chemical composition*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **2**, (1994), 283-313.

[16] I. Fonseca & G. Leoni, *Modern Methods in the Calculus of Variations: $L^p$ Spaces*, Springer (2007).

[17] I. Fonseca & S. Muller, *Quasiconvex integrands and Lower Semicontinuity in $L^1$*, Siam. J. Math. Anal., **23**, No. 5, (1992), 1081-1098.

[18] I. Fonseca & S. Muller , *Relaxation of quasiconvex functionals in $BV(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^p)$ for integrands $f(x,u,\nabla u)$*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **123**, (1993), 1-49.

[19] H. Le Dret & A. Raoult, *Variational convergence for nonlinear shell models with directors and related semicontinuity and relaxation results*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **154**, No. 2, (2000), 101-134.

[20] P. Marcellini, *Approximation of quasiconvex functions and lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals*, Manuscripta Math., **51**, (1985), 1-28.

[21] A. M. Ribeiro & E. Zappale, *Relaxation of certain integral functionals depending on strain and chemical composition.* Chin. Ann. Math. Series B, to appear.